



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,432	08/07/2003	Luc Uylenbroeck	2003_1110	8533
513 7590 04/21/2004			EXAMINER	
	H, LIND & PONACK,	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
		DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	٠
х	`
a	Ď.
•	()

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/635,432	UYLENBROECK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phyllis G. Spivack	1614				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
/	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement					
0)	are subject to restriction and/or	olootion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •						
1) X Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,432

Art Unit: 1614

A Preliminary Amendment filed August 7, 2003, in which priority information is updated, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-11 are presented and represent all of the claims now under consideration.

An Information Disclosure Statement filed August 7, 2003 is further acknowledged. The references have been reviewed to the extent a publication date is provided.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention.

Claims 1-10 provide for the use of cetirizine, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure that is not enabling. The specific patient population, to which the disclosure is

Application/Control Number: 10/635,432

Art Unit: 1614

directed, is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The specification provides support for a patient population consisting of infants in whom clear prognosticators are defined. The specification provides no support for an adult without the herein defined risk factors

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,432,961. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of overlapping subject matter.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,432

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Trieloff, I., TW <u>Padiatrie</u> (abstract).

Trieloff teaches the administration of cetirizine to prevent asthma.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Phyllis G.

Spivack at telephone number 571-272-0585.

Phyllis G. Spivack
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1614

April 19, 2004

PHYLLIS SPIVACK PRIMARY EXAMINER